

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 DONALD CALVIN,
10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 WHATCOM COUNTY, *et al.*,
13 Defendants.
14

No. C07-273RSL

ORDER CONTINUING MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

15 This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's "Motion for Brief Continuance of
16 'Deadline' Date for Submission of Amended Response to Motion for/and Hearing on Summary
17 Judgment," Dkt. #98. Plaintiff contends that an important document central to his case was
18 discovered on May 28, 2009, but the attorney whose help he requires to explain the document
19 and prepare a statement about it is not available before June 8 or 9. *Id.* at 3. Plaintiff believes
20 that "the Court will find this paper *very* helpful in determining who is telling the truth, and just
21 what the circumstances were." *Id.* at 4 (emphasis in original). Defendants oppose plaintiff's
22 motion. Dkt. #97.

23 Assuming that this document is in fact central to plaintiff's case, and giving this *pro se*
24 plaintiff every benefit of the doubt, the Court will continue defendants' motion for summary
25

26 ORDER CONTINUING MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 1

1 judgment for two weeks. Plaintiff's amended response must be filed with the Court **no later**
2 **than Monday, June 15, 2009**, and defendants' amended reply, if any, is due **no later than the**
3 **note date of Friday, June 19, 2009**. However, plaintiff should expect no further continuances
4 in this case.

5 The Court therefore GRANTS plaintiff's motion for a brief continuance (Dkt. #98). The
6 Clerk of the Court is directed to renote defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. #31) for
7 June 19, 2009.

8
9
10 DATED this 3rd day of June, 2009.
11
12
13
14
15

16 

17 Robert S. Lasnik
18 United States District Judge
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26